3771 Eastwood Drive Jackson, MS 39211-6381 Phone: 601-432-8000 Fax: 601-713-6380

Fax: 601-713-6380 www.its.ms.gov

Craig P. Orgeron, Ph.D., Executive Director

RFP Questions and Clarifications Memorandum

To: Vendors Responding to RFP Number 4063 for the Department of Public Safety, Criminal

Information Center (DPS/CIC)

From: Craig P. Orgeron, Ph.D.

Date: November 26, 2018

Subject: Responses to Questions Submitted and Clarifications to Specifications

Contact Name: Jeannie Williford

Contact Phone Number: 601-432-8052

Contact E-mail Address: jeannie.williford@its.ms.gov

RFP Number 4063 is hereby amended as follows:

1. Title page, INVITATION is modified as follows:

INVITATION: Sealed proposals, subject to the attached conditions, will be received at this office until **December 4820**, **2018 at 3:00 p.m.** Central Time for the acquisition of the products/services described below for **Mississippi Department of Public Safety**, **Criminal Information Center**.

2. Title page, third box is modified as follows:

PROPOSAL, SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO RFP NO. 4063

DUE December 1820, 2018 @ 3:00 p.m., ATTENTION: Jeannie Williford

3. Section VII Technical Specifications, Item 5 Procurement Project Schedule is amended as follows:

Task	Date
Deadline for Questions Answered and Posted	11/16/18 <u>11/26/18</u>
to ITS Web Site	
Open Proposals	3:00 p.m. Central Time on
	12/18/18 <u>12/20/18</u>
Begin Evaluation of Proposals	12/19/18 <u>12/20/18</u>
Contract Negotiation	01/25/19
Proposed Project Start-up	02/22/19

4	Attachman	t A II I/ E0 n is amanded as follows:	
4.		t A, II.K.58.p. is amended as follows:	
	58.p. Page 14	The proposed solution must support the examiners in the verification of candidates for searches selected from the queue and allow them to selectively manipulate the original image and the candidate image separately or in synchrony (i.e., zoom, magnify, rotate, contrast adjust, brightness adjust, reverse black and white, apply gamma correction,	
		apply FFT, mirror [horizontal or vertical], sharpen/unsharpen, mark points of similarity, apply false color encoding based on image density, generate histograms, turn on and	
	A 44	turn off all minutiae, and dMS DPSlay display matching minutiae).	
5.		t A, III.F.82., Page 21 is amended to add an introductory statement. The remainder of nt No. 82 is unchanged.	
	•	The State uses a State of Mississippi Fingerprint Card for arrest transactions. This card	
	82	is also printed by the system on plain paper or card stock. Vendor must agree that each	
	Page 21	card printer will have:	
		 a. At least two drawers/trays to support fingerprint and palmprint card stock simultaneously without having to physically change trays; b. FBI Appendix F Certification; 	
		 c. Connectivity to a workstation or server running the most recent version of the operating system that was used to certify the printer d. Simultaneous two-sided print capability; 	
		e. 1 Gigabit Network Interface Card;	
		f. At least 256 MB of memory;	
		g. The ability to print cards at least 1000 ppi resolution using a compatible printer	
		driver for the specific model printer listed in the FBI Appendix F Certification.	
	Note:	Note to vendors regarding Amendment No. 5. A copy of the Mississippi Fingerprint Card is attached to this document as Exhibit B.	
6.	Attachman		
0.		t A, III.K.97.j.1 is amended to read as follows:	
	97.j.1 Page 23	l grog nations@dns ms gov, gnations@dns ms gov, Include a reference to this DED	
7.	Attachmen	t A, III.L.103.c. is amended as follows:	
	103.c. Page 25	radofining the configuration parameters for Scoring Thresholds 1, 2, 3, and 4 as	
8.	Attachment A, III.L.103.f. is amended as follows:		
	103.f.	The proposed solution must support the system administrator in maintaining and	
	Page 25 changing a selectable second-level verification. per International Standards Organization (ISO) standards.		
9.	Attachment A, IV.G.136.i.1. is amended to read as follows:		
9.	Attachmen	TA, IV.G. 136.1.1. Is amended to read as follows:	
9.		For access to the MDPS Information Security Plan, send an email request to	
9.	Attachmen 136.i.1 Page 34	·	

	175.a. Page 39	Ten-Print Workstation Baseline: This course will cover all ten-print functionality associated with the new AFIS. The course will provide hands-on instruction on the ten-print workstation for manual and automated ten-print processing. "Hands-on" requires that each student have access to a fully functional workstation and training database during the training sessions. The course will cover ten-print manual and automated work flows, displays, data entry, quality assessment, and all functionality. In addition, the course will cover the basic and administrative user functions of the NIST archive. This course will also include the method by which NIST standard fingerprint transactions can be run against non-State agency AFISs. This course will also cover palm-print and slap-print entry and quality assessment functions. This course will need to be conducted enough times initially to accommodate approximately 25 examiners, with no more than five examiners per session. The State expects five (5) Ten-Print Examiners to participate in the Ten-print Workstation Baseline course. Latent Workstation Baseline: This course will cover all AFIS latent functionality associated with the new AFIS. The course will provide hands-on instruction on the latent		
	Page 39	workstation and latent case management system. "Hands-on" requires that each student have access to a fully functional workstation and training database during the training sessions. The course will cover latent manual work flows, displays, data entry, quality assessment, and all functionality. In addition, the course will cover the basic user functions of the NIST archive. This course includes the method by which NIST standard latent transactions can be run against non-State member agency AFISs. The course will include instruction in best practices for ensuring optimum accuracy. This course will also cover latent palm-print and slap entry, quality assessment, and matching functions. This course will need to be conducted enough times initially to accommodate approximately 60 examiners, with no more than five examiners per session. The State expects eight (8) Latent Examiners to participate in the Latent Workstation Baseline course.		
	175.d. Page 40	CIC Administration, Managers, and Supervisors: This course will cover AFIS Management functions. This course will provide hands-on instruction for accessing and producing management reports, creating user accounts, and performing audits and inquiries using the tools provided by the System. The State expects a maximum of four (4) CIC administrators, managers, and supervisors to participate in the Integrated System Management (System Administration) course.		
11.	Attachmen	Attachment A,V. A.184.i.1 is amended to read as follows:		
	184.i.1. Page 41	For access to the MDPS Information Security Plan, send an email request to greg.nations@dps.ms.gov_gnations@dps.ms.gov. Include a reference to this RFP requirement as justification for your request.		
12.	Attachmen	t A,VI.191 on Page 42 is being amended to add items c. and d.		
	<u>191.c.</u> Page 42	The COOP must be submitted as a preliminary plan with the Vendor's proposal.		
	<u>191.d.</u> Page 42	The cost for the COOP must be provided as appropriate in the Section VIII Cost Information Submission – Optional Components/Services.		

Vendor must include in their proposal a response to each amended requirement as listed above. Vendor must respond using the same terminology as provided in the *How to Respond* instructions included in RFP No. 4063 and Attachment A to RFP No. 4063.

The following questions were submitted to ITS and are being presented as they were submitted, except to remove any reference to a specific vendor. This information should assist you in formulating your response.

Vendor Question No.	Verified RFP Cite	Question/Answer
Question 1	RFP Page 12 #18	Please confirm that the integration and implementation described in this section would be eligible for a change order.
Response		Vendor cites reference #18 of the RFP on page 20; however, the State assumes the Vendor is referring to #18 on page 12 of the RFP, which is titled <i>Rights Reserved to Use Existing Product Contracts</i> .
		The acquisition and implementation of such products is not eligible for a change order.
Question 2	RFP Page 19 #29	Please confirm that the right to "use, and/or alter the software without restriction" under this section applies only to the custom-tailored part of the software and not the underlying pre-existing software.
Response		Vendor cites reference #29.1 on page 54 of the RFP; however, the State assumes the Vendor is referring to RFP Item #29 on page 19, which is titled <i>Ownership of Custom Tailored Software</i> . This is the only RFP text that matches the Vendor's quoted language.
		The referenced language applies only to the custom-tailored part of the software and not the underlying, pre-existing software.
Question 3	RFP Page 20 #32 and Exhibit A, Article 42 Page 61	Please confirm that services made necessary by a new policy described in this section would be eligible for a change order.
Response		Vendor cites reference #32 of the RFP; however, the State assumes the vendor is referring to services made necessary to remain in compliance with future changes in the State's Enterprise Security Policy. In that regard, the RFP references such compliance in two sections: Section IV, Item #32 on page 20 of the RFP and in Exhibit A, Article 42 on page 61 of the RFP.
		The RFP makes it known that the State's Enterprise Security Policy is based on industry-standard best practices, policy, and guidelines. Any future changes to its Enterprise Security Policy will result from advances in industry-standard best practices, policy and guidelines that will be incumbent upon all responsible providers.
		Future Enterprise Security Policy changes that require Vendor investments outside the scope of industry-standard, best practices, policy, and guidelines may be considered eligible for a

Vendor Question No.	Verified RFP Cite	Question/Answer
		change order, where the changes involve purchase of third-party software or hardware.
Question 4	RFP	RFP, #34, Page 19
	Page 20 #34	Please confirm that the vendor may mark elements of its proposal as "confidential" and that the State will refrain from disclosing such material to the extent disclosure is not required under applicable law.
Response		Please refer to the ITS Public Records Policy detailed in the ITS Procurement Handbook. This document can be found on our website at: https://www.its.ms.gov/Procurement/Documents/ISS%20Procurement%20Manual.pdf#page=1
Question 5	RFP Page 39 Section VIII Option A	Should the statement read: "Include all related costs for training, support, one year warranty, and recurring maintenance" such that Year 1 is implementation & warranty and Year 2-5 is recurring annual maintenance? If not, can the Department please advise if "Maintenance Year 1 \$" should be considered the warranty period and Year 2-5 recurring annual maintenance?
Response		The warranty period starts at full system acceptance. Section VIII Cost Information Submission forms are provided to assist vendors in quantifying costs. Vendors may customize the forms as appropriate, but the State must be able to calculate costs for the full initial term of the contract and any extended terms, if applicable.
Question 6	Section VIII – Cost Information Submission	Will the State provide its MSFT pricing under the State of MS Enterprise Agreement so that proposers will know what cost to carry?
Response		Because the Vendor cite is unclear on this question, the State assumes the Vendor is referring to Section VIII, Cost Information Submission, Option A tables on pages 1 and 2, which refer to the State of Mississippi Enterprise Agreement.
		No, the cost of Microsoft Licensing by DPS is not relevant because Vendors should submit their best costs for Vendor proposed products and services on the cost forms.
Question 7	RFP Exhibit A	Certain provisions of the contract would not apply to hosted services (for example, Section 1.1 contains a deadline that does not allow for hosting services to continue past the deadline). Additionally, the contract does not include certain provisions necessary for hosted services (such as granting of access rights to the State).
		Vendor Question: In the event the State wishes to proceed with hosted services, will the State negotiate the necessary revisions to the contract?

Vendor Question No.	Verified RFP Cite	Question/Answer
Response		Yes, contract negotiations will commence upon award. Vendors should submit additional terms and conditions that they wish for the State to comply with in their proposal response. It is not guaranteed that the State can or will comply with any additional terms.
Question 8	RFP Exhibit A, #13.8 Page 54	Is the State seeking the right to extend the contract indefinitely or just for a set period of extensions?
Response		Any renewal will be subject to the agreement of both parties. Awarded Vendor must agree to honor proposed pricing with the exception of a 5% increase for software maintenance.
Question 9	Attachment A Page 2 I.C.12.	Is the AFIS responsible for assigning a "new" SID or is the CCH master and assigns all new SIDs?
Response		The MS Criminal History System (MCHS) assigns SIDs.
Question 10	Attachment A Page 4 I.F.32.	Are all LiveScan systems in the State configured to submit "Identification Flats" (slap only), and do they follow the FBI protocol of 4-4-2?
Response		The current AFIS implementation does not accept slap-only transactions. The State expects the new implementation to follow the current industry standards for allowing slap-only civil/applicant transactions.
Question 11	Attachment A Page 4 I. F.42.	Can the Department please provide additional details on the certification process? Vendor seeks to determine if the certification process is manual or automated, and if it involves demographic validations, biometric quality validations or both.
Response		The vender certification process is a set of scripted, structured tests where the seeking Vendor brings equipment to the CIC. CIC personnel step the vendor through a series of approximately ten test submissions to evaluate state and federal handling of transactions, errors, and responses.
Question 12	Attachment A	These sections mention cardscan (along with Livescan).
	Page 4 I.F. 42 and 43 Attachment A Pages 8 and 9 II.I. 50 and 51	Is the State expecting the Cardscan functionality to be provided by the vendor on the tenprint workstation using Flatbed scanner? Or is this an external cardscan system with which the new AFIS needs to interface, such as Livescan.
Response		The State of MS has existing external tenprint cardscan systems with which the new AFIS needs to interface.

Vendor Question No.	Verified RFP Cite	Question/Answer
Question 13	Attachment A Page 5	What percentage of ten prints will be 1000 ppi day forward?
Response		All current images are at 500ppi and will be day forward.
Question 14	Attachment A Page 5 II.H.Table 1	Of the 695,597 tenprint records listed for 2018, how many are registrations with rolled fingers and slaps compared to civil registrations with flat fingers only?
Response		All existing tenprint transactions contain rolls and slaps. The current AFIS implementation does not accept flat-fingers-only or slap-only transactions. The State expects the new system to accept and process flat-fingers-only/slap-only applicant (civil) transactions.
Question 15	Attachment A Page 5 II.H.Table 1	Of the 695,597 tenprint records listed for 2018, how many registrations include palm prints? Do they include lower, writer, and upper palms?
Response		A very small number, approximately 19 agencies, are submitting palmprints. There are approximately 24,578 stored palmprint images for all years. There were 13,811 palmprints added in the last 12-month period and they were primarily sex offender registrations. The stored palmprints are a mix of full, upper, lower, and writer palms. Vendor will need to apply the specified growth rate to these figures.
Question 16	Attachment A Page 5 II.H.Table 1	How many palm cards are available with the State and are they part of the migration (through scanning)?
Response		See response to Question #15.
Question 17	Attachment A Page 5 II.H.Table 1	Of the 695,597 tenprint records listed for 2018, how many registrations include mugshots? Are there three mugshot images per record?
Response		Currently, there are 9,705 single frontal images stored in the database for all years. There is one mugshot image per record.
Question 18	Attachment A Page 5 II.H.Table 1	How many palm NIST records are currently available in the archive for the vendor to load during migration?
Response		See response to Question #15.
Question 19	Attachment A Page 5 II.H.Table 1	What is the expected palm design size for the proposed system?
Response		Regarding Type 15 (palmprint) records, refer to the Electronic Biometric Transmission Specifications – U.S. Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation (EBTS).

Vendor Question No.	Verified RFP Cite	Question/Answer
Question 20	Attachment A Page 5 II.H.Table 1	Should the vendor assume palm scanning be done at 500 ppi resolution in order to calculate the storage size for the palm design database?
Response		Yes, Vendors should assume palm scanning is at 500 ppi. See the <i>AFIS MCHS Tenprint ICD for RFP 4063</i> , Appendix A.5 as referenced in RFP 4063, Attachment A.
Question 21	Attachment A Page 5 II.H.Table 1	How many palm latents are available with the State and are they part of the migration (through scanning) or will the State examiners load them in the system when the system is operational?
Response		The State has no palm latents in the current AFIS system. The State will load specific latents when requested by an agency. There are no plans for a bulk load of latent palms. Because the State has not had this capability, the number of transactions is unknown, but assumed to be small.
Question 22	Attachment A Page 5 II.H.Table 1	What is the expected palm latent database design size for the proposed system?
Response		See response to Question #21.
Question 23	Attachment A Page 5 II.H.Table 2	Which of the TOTs will be accompanied by the palms?
Response		Regarding the relationship of Type 15 records to Types of Transactions, refer to the Electronic Biometric Transmission Specifications – U.S. Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation (EBTS). In the case of the State of Mississippi, the typical TOTs that might contain a type 15 record include ARR, DOC, and SOR.
Question 24	Attachment A Page 5 II.H.Table 2	Going forward, once the new AFIS is in place, how many transactions will contain palms? The reason the vendor needs to know is to estimate the full palm to latent palm reverse search daily/peak hourly transaction volume
Response		See response to Question #21.
Question 25	Attachment A Page 5 II.H., Table 2	How many palm latent to palm database searches (daily workload) are estimated in the new AFIS system design?
Response		The State does not currently have this capability. The number of transactions is expected to be small.
Question 26	Attachment A Page 7 II.I.49.I.	Does the system use name-based searching as part of tenprint workflow (1:few)?

Vendor Question No.	Verified RFP Cite	Question/Answer
Response		MCHS starts with a name-based search then sends the name matched candidates to AFIS. For further definition, see the table in section 3.1 of the <i>AFIS MCHS ICD for RFP 4063</i> as referenced in RFP No. 4063, Attachment A.
Question 27	Attachment A Page 7 II.I.49.q.	The requirement mentions Cross-Jurisdictional Searches. Which External Jurisdictional AFIS will be interfacing with the proposed AFIS?
Response		This capability does not exist in the current AFIS, but the State expects the functionality to be provided with the proposed AFIS solution.
Question 28	Attachment A Page 7 II.I.49.q.	Would these searches be Tenprint or Latent searches or both?
Response		These searches would be both Tenprint and Latent.
Question 29	Attachment A Page 7 II.I.49.q.	Vendor would like to request Cross-Jurisdictional Search transaction counts (per day) – Tenprint and Latent Cross-Jurisdictional Searches
Response		These quantities are unknown because this capability doesn't exist at this time. Future counts per week or month would be small.
Question 30	Attachment A Page 7 II.I.49.x.	The requirement states the proposed AFIS be capable of ingesting, registering and creating records for the "Out of State Sex Offender" transactions. Would these records be registered under Mississippi State ID number or is there some unique identifier scheme for these records? If registered using Mississippi State ID number, would the record contain the "Out of State registration number / flag"?
Response		All SORs are registered under a MS State ID number. There is no additional unique identifier. The record contains neither an out-of-state registration number, nor a flag.
Question 31	Attachment A Page 14 II.K.58.p.	Should this read "and display matching minutiae"?
Response		Yes, see Amendment #4 above.
Question 32	Attachment A Page 21 III.F.82.	Does the State print to "plain paper" card stock, or "pre-printed" FBI card stock?
Response		The State uses plain paper and card stock. See Amendment #5 above.
Question 33	Attachment A Page 21 III.H.87.	If MDPS is currently using and prefers Veeam for Backup/Recovery, is MDPS providing the service? Or shall the vendor assume responsibility to procure and manage the Backup/Recovery software?

Vendor Question No.	Verified RFP Cite	Question/Answer
Response		Vendor will be responsible for procuring and managing the backup/recovery software and hardware of choice. The reference to Veeam was for information only.
Question 34	Attachment A Page 23 III. K.97.f.	Isn't this a LiveScan standard for mug photo capture?
Response		Yes, this is a LiveScan standard for mug photo capture. The intent is that the proposed AFIS solution should be compliant with this standard in the event that the AFIS solution would need to validate on this aspect of the submission.
Question 35	Attachment A Page 25 II.L.103.c.	Can the Department please provide additional information on the definition of Scoring thresholds 1, 2, 3, and 4?
Response		This requirement has been revised. See Amendment #7 above.
Question 36	Attachment A Page 25 III.L.103.f.	Can the Department please provide additional information on the specific ISO standard referred to?
Response		This requirement has been revised. See Amendment #8 above.
Question 37	Attachment A Page 31 IV.D.123.	Would ITS kindly clarify whether the Integrated Master Schedule should be included as part of the Project Management Plan as stated in item 123 or as a separate deliverable as noted in Table 9?
	Attachment A Page 51 Table 9	
Response		As stated in Item IV.D.123 of Attachment A, the Integrated Master Schedule is to be included as part of the Project Management Plan with the vendor's proposal.
Question 38	Attachment A Page 34 IV.I.140 Page 35 IV.J.145 Attachment A Page 52 IX,Table 9,#5	Items 140 and 145 imply that the <i>System Migration Plan</i> and <i>Data Migration Plan</i> should be submitted (as preliminary plans) with vendors' proposals so that MDPS can assess their migration capabilities. Table 9 indicates that a single <i>Migration Plan</i> should be provided at System Design Review. Would ITS please clarify whether the <i>System Migration Plan</i> and <i>Data Migration Plan</i> should be part of the single <i>Migration Plan</i> , and if so, whether the plan should be included with vendors' proposals?
Response		The System Migration Plan and the Data Migration Plan should be submitted as preliminary plans with vendor's proposal. The Migration Plan should be provided during the System Design period.

Vendor Question No.	Verified RFP Cite	Question/Answer
Question 39	Attachment A Page 37 IV.L.160 and IV.M.165 Attachment A Pages 51 and 53 IX,Table 9, #4 and #5	Items 160 and 165 imply that the <i>SAT plan</i> and <i>UAT plan</i> should be submitted (as preliminary plans) with vendors' proposals to demonstrate their ability to conduct the SAT and UAT. Table 9 indicates that a <i>Test and Evaluation Master Plan</i> should be provided with vendors' proposals. However, Table 9 also indicates that a <i>Test Plan</i> should be provided at System Design Review. Would ITS please clarify whether the <i>SAT plan</i> and <i>UAT plan</i> should be part of the Test and <i>Evaluation Master Plan</i> or the <i>Test Plan</i> ? If they should be part of the <i>Test Plan</i> , please clarify whether the plan should be included with vendors' proposals. Please also clarify the difference between the <i>Test and Evaluation Master Plan</i> and the <i>Test Plan</i> .
Response		The Test and Evaluation Master Plan (which should include a discussion of SAT and UAT) should be submitted as a preliminary plan with Vendor's proposal. The Test and Evaluation Master Plan is an umbrella document covering all phases of system testing and evaluation and all forms of testing. The term <i>Test Plan</i> is used as general umbrella reference to SAT and UAT.
Question 40	Attachment A Page 38 IV.N.169. Attachment A Page 53 IX,Table 9,#29	Item 169 implies that the <i>Configuration Management Plan</i> should be submitted (as a preliminary plan) with vendors' proposals. Table 9 indicates that the <i>Configuration Management Plan</i> should be provided after contract award. Would ITS kindly clarify whether the <i>Configuration Management Plan</i> should be included with vendors' proposals?
Response		The Configuration Management Plan must be provided within 30 days of the effective date of the resulting contract.
Question 41	Attachment A Page 39 IV.O.175.a.	Can ITS confirm the total number of tenprint examiners who will be participating in the Ten-print Workstation Baseline course?
Response		See Amendment #10 above.
Question 42	Attachment A Page 39 IV.O.175.b.	Can ITS confirm the total number of latent examiners who will be participating in the Latent Workstation Baseline course?
Response		See Amendment #10 above.
Question 43	Attachment A Page 40 IV.O.175.c.	Vendor Question: Can ITS confirm the total number of MCIC Staff who will be participating in the Help Desk course initially?
Response		As stated in Attachment A, IV.O.175.c., the State expects approximately four (4) MCIC staff participants.
Question 44	Attachment A Page 40 IV.O.175.d.	Can ITS confirm the total number of CIC Administrators, Managers, and Supervisors who will be participating in the Integrated System Management (System Administration) course initially?
Response		See Amendment #10 above.

Vendor Question No.	Verified RFP Cite	Question/Answer
Question 45	Attachment A Page 42 V.B.190.	Would ITS please clarify whether the <i>In-Plant Security Plan</i> should be included with vendors' proposals?
	Attachment A IX,Table 9,#10	
Response		The In-Plant Security Plan should be submitted as a preliminary plan with the vendor's proposal.
Question 46	Attachment A Page 42 VI.191.	Would ITS please clarify whether the <i>Continuity of Operations Plan</i> should be included with vendors' proposals?
	Attachment A IX,Table 9,#22	
Response		The Continuity of Operations Plan should be included with Vendor's proposal. See Amendment #12 above.
Question 47	Attachment A Page 46 VIII.G.228.	Is vendor responsible for HW upgrades (refresh) during contract duration?
	Attachment A Page 47 VIII.G.231.	
Response		Regarding hardware refresh requirements, Vendors should refer to Attachment A, K.244.
		In Section VIII, Cost Information Submission forms, Vendors are instructed to provide a separately priced option for one system hardware refresh during the life of the contract if the State of MS selects and accepts that option. If that option is accepted, the vendor is responsible for the hardware upgrade (refresh) during the contract. If not accepted, the vendor is responsible for maintaining and supporting the hardware under the contract.
Question 48	Attachment A Page 46 VIII.G.230	Can ITS please confirm that it is the vendor's responsibility to provide and maintain the network hardware, infrastructure, and connectivity at the point of presence (CIC) site?
Response		For a hosted service solution, the Point of Presence would be MCIC.
Question 49		<vendor> would like to request a 2-week extension for the submittal of questions to allow us to further review all the documents for the Mississippi RFP.</vendor>
Response		The State cannot comply with an extension to submit questions. The State will agree to extend the deadline of proposal responses to December 20, 2018.

Vendor Question No.	Verified RFP Cite	Question/Answer					
Question 50		Can the State detail any additional changes or updates to the requirements since the initial RFP was released, any new challenges that the State seeks to solve through this latest RFP?					
Response		The State refers the vendor to the requirements for Slap-only applicant processing, Rapback, and Mobile ID functionality. Rapback and mobile ID functionalities are separately costed options in the Section VIII Cost Information Submission forms.					

RFP responses are due December 20, 2018, at 3:00 p.m. (Central Time).

If you have any questions concerning the information above or if we can be of further assistance, please contact Jeannie Williford at 601-432-8052 or via email at jeannie.williford@its.ms.gov.

Enclosure: Exhibit B – State of Mississippi Fingerprint Card

cc: ITS Project File Number 42660

Exhibit B State of Mississippi Fingerprint Card

I. LAST NAME, PIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME, SUFFIX				2. SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER		3. DRIVERS LA	3. DRIVERS LICENSE NUMBER		
4. SIGNATURE OF PERSON PENGERPRINTED				and a trompact		J. CHI. TEMO LEC.			
				5. AGENCY CASE NUMBER			6. SID NUMBER		
/.									
ALIASES, MAIDEN		8. ARREST TYPE	9. ARRESTING AGENCY ORL NAME, ADORESS						
į		JUVENILE TREAT AS AQULT							
), FBI NUMBER	II. DATH OF ARREST (MM. DO YYYY)	12. DATE OF BIRTH (MM DD YYYY)	13. SEX	14. RACE	15. HEIGHT	16. WEIGHT	12. EYES	IS HAI	
SCARS, MARKS, TATTOOS,	AND AMPUTATIONS (INCLUDE TATTOO DES	CRIPTION)							
MISCELLANEOUS NUMBER	1.5	21. RESTDENCE (C	COMPLETE ADDR	(ISS)					
1	()								
						-			
R. THUMB	2. R. INDEX	3. R. MIDDLE		4.R.BN	G		S. R. LITTLE		
	,								
Tours									
L. THUMB	7. L. INDEX	8. L. MIDDLE		S. L. PING	ì		10. L UTTLE		
THUMS	7. L INDEX	8 L MIDDLE		S. L. PRINC	à		10. L LITTLE		
. Тнимв	2. L INDEX	8. L. MIDDLE		9. L PING	1		10. L LITTLE		
. Тнимв	7. L INDEX	8. L. MIDOLE		S. L. PINYS	3		.10. L UTTLE		
. ТНИМВ	2. L INDEX	8. L. MIDDLE		S. L. PENIC	à		10. L LITTLE		
THUMB	7. L INDEX	8. L. MIDDLE		S. L. PANK)		10. L LITTLE		
. ТНИМВ	7. L. INDEX	8. L. MIDDLE		S. L. PING)		10. L UTTLE		
. ТНИМВ	7. L. INDEX	8 L MIDDLE		S. L. PINS)		10. L LITTLE		
"THUMB	7. t. INDEX	8. L. MIDDLE		S. L. PING)		10. L. LITTLE		

MS ARR-Card Front 8"x8"

MISSISSIPPI CRIMINAL HISTORY SYSTEM

7				115. RECEIVED AT STATE BUREAU		
			1			
			- 1			
116. BOOKED BY (ORI, AGENCY NAME)		117. OFFICIAL TAKING FING	RPRINTS (NAME AND N	UMBER)		
118. PLACE OF BIRTH (STATE OR COUNTRY)	119, COUN	119: COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP				
120, EMPLOYER AND OCCUPATION						
121. PHOTO TAKEN? Y / N 122, PALMPRINTS TAKEN? Y		123, DNA	123, DNA SAMPLE TAKEN! Y / N			
124. STATE STATUTE	125, CHARGE DESCRIPTION					
10. STATESTATULE	125, CHARGE DESCRIPTION	126, SBVB	RITY 127. COUNTS	128. DATE OF OFFENSE (MM DD YYYY		
129. STATE STATUTE	130, CHARGE DESCRIPTION	131, SEVE	UTY 132, COUNTS	133. DATE OF OFFENSE (MM DD YYYY		
			1	1		
			ĺ			
134, STATE STATUTE	135, CHARGE DESCRIPTION	136, SEVE	TTY 137, COUNTS	138. DATE OF OFFENSE (MM DD YYYY		
			ľ			
139. STATE STATUTE	140. CHARGE DESCRIPTION	141. SEVE	TTY 142 COUNTS	143. DATE OF OFFENSE (MM DD YYYY)		
144. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION / BASIS FOR CAUTION						

MS ARR-Card Back 8"x8"